

Minutes of the meeting of the Council held on Wednesday, 15 March 2023 at 19:30

Present: Councillor (Chair)

Councillors Marlow, Legg, Andrews, Bowyer, M Bradburn, R Bradburn, Cannon, A Carr, J Carr, Clarke, Crooks, Darlington, Exon, Ferrans, Fuller, Geary, Hall, D Hopkins, Hosking, Hume, Imran, M Khan, N Khan, Lancaster, Long, Mahendran, Marland, McLean, McQuillan, Middleton, Montague, Muzammil, Nazir, Z Nolan, Oguntola, Raja, Rolfe, Taylor, Townsend, Trendall, Verma and Wallis

Apologies: Councillors Balazs, Cryer-Whitehead, De Villiers, Hearnshaw, Hussain, Jenkins, McPake, B Nolan, Priestley, Smith, Wardle and Wilson-Marklew

Alderman Bartlett, Alderman Beeley, Alderman Bristow, Alderman Connor, Alderman Coventry, Alderman Geary, Alderman Henderson, Alderwoman Henderson, Alderwoman Irons, Alderwoman Lewis, Alderwoman Lloyd, Alderman McCall, Alderman McKenzie and Alderman Tallack

Apologies (Attended Virtually):

Also Present: Alderman Miles and Alderwoman Saunders and X members of the public

Officers:

CL118 Minutes

RESOLVED:

That the minutes of the meeting of the Council held on 22 February 2023 be approved and signed by the Mayor as a correct record, subject to a correction at CL 112 where those recorded as voting for the substantive motion should be recorded as voting against and those recorded as voting against should be recorded as voting for the motion.

That the minutes of the special meeting of the Council held on 28 February 2023 be approved and signed by the Mayor as a correct record.

CL119 Disclosures of Interest

As the current Chair of the Corporate Parenting Panel, Councillor M Bradburn disclosed a pecuniary interest in Item 7 (Scheme of Councillors Allowances).

CL120 Announcements

The Mayor made an announcement in respect of Newport Pagnell Town Football Club FA Vase semi-final match.

CL121 Petitions

The Council received a petition from residents of Woburn Sands regarding a request for a reduction in speed limit for the area of Hardwick Road, The Leys and the built up section of Bow Brickhill Road.

The Cabinet member undertook to ask Highways Officers to undertake a technical assessment of the area.

The Council received a petition from residents requesting the School Street scheme at Chestnuts School be reinstated.

The Cabinet member undertook to ask Highways Officers to review the responses to the trial scheme and to discuss greater enforcement powers with the Department of Transport with a view to reinstating the scheme.

ACTIONS:

The Cabinet member agreed to ask officers to undertake a technical assessment of the area that had been requested for a reduction in speed to 20MPH

The Cabinet member agreed to ask officers to review the responses to the trial scheme and to ask officers to speak with the Department of Transport to seek greater enforcement powers with a view to considering reinstating the school streets scheme at Chestnuts School.

CL122 Questions from Members of the Public

Question from Nick Duncan to Councillor Marland (Leader of the Council)

Nick Duncan was unable to attend the meeting and their question is noted below:

"As Milton Keynes City Council is recorded as having formally joined the Oxford Cambridge Partnership and that the leader is a member of the Shadow Board, please could he explain why he and the Chief Executive are recorded as having "declined" attendance in the only Minutes so far published, rather than "apologised" or offered a substitute?"

The written response from Councillor Marland was as follows:

"Thank you for your question.

The Shadow Pan-Regional Partnership minutes accurately reflect that I did not attend the meetings of the Shadow Executive.

I have previously and continue to highlight that those meetings should be held at a more appropriate time that reflects the public nature of the matters being discussed and to enhance transparency.

The phraseology in which my non-attendance has been minuted is a matter for the secretariat of the PRP, not Milton Keynes City Council.

I am a member of the (Shadow) Executive of the PRP a representative as the co-Chair of the Central Area Growth Board, not directly on behalf of Milton Keynes City Council.

The position of the CAGB and MKCC was set out in the discussion of the last meeting of the Central Area Growth Board."

ACTIONS:

The Mayor asked Councillor Marland to provide a written response to the public question he had received.

CL123 Joint Negotiating Committee (Employers Side) - 24 February 2023 - Pay Policy Statement 2023/24

Councillor Middleton (Chair of the Joint Negotiating Committee (Employers' Side)) moved the following recommendation from the meeting of the Joint Negotiating Committee (Employers' Side) held on 24 February 2023, which was seconded by Councillor J Carr:

"That Council approve the Pay Policy Statement for 2023/24.

The recommendation was agreed by acclamation.

RESOLVED:

That Council approve the Pay Policy Statement for 2023/24.

CL124 Councillors' Questions

Questions were received from Councillors D Hopkins, Ferrans, Lancaster, N Khan, Taylor, Exon, Bowyer, Mahendran, Verma, Clarke, Rolfe and Long.

(a) Question from Councillor D Hopkins to Councillor Marland (Leader of the Council)

Councillor D Hopkins, referring to a telecoms mast being erected in Old Farm Park when the application had been refused by officers but due to the decision notice being issued one day late construction was able to proceed, asked Councillor Marland, would he commission a full independent review on this matter and report back to the Council and the community on this latest failure by the planning department.

Councillor Marland indicated that an internal review had been carried out which had identified the issue, the officer involved was no longer with the Council and procedures along with new software would prevent this occurring again. There was little to be gained from a costly and time consuming external review when an internal

review had already been carried out and resolved the issue. Councillor Marland apologised on behalf of the Council but noted that due to changes the applicant had made to the scheme it was likely that it would have been granted on appeal or by secondary application.

As a supplementary question, Councillor D Hopkins asked Councillor Marland, that as this follows a number of failures of the planning department would he think again about carrying out an external review?

Councillor Marland advised that there would be nothing to be gained from an external review but that he would write to the Minister for Planning to request that deadlines for issuing decisions for various prior consent applications were aligned.

- (b) Question from Councillor Ferrans to Councillor R Bradburn (Cabinet member for Economy and Culture)

Councillor Ferrans, referring to the nearly half a million pounds set aside in the budget to support high streets and businesses, asked Councillor R Bradburn, how does Milton Keynes compare to other towns and cities for job opportunities?

Councillor R Bradburn indicated that a recent study had ranked Milton Keynes as the highest overall for job opportunities and he thanked the economic development team in particular for their work supporting this.

- (c) Question from Councillor Lancaster to Councillor D Hopkins (Leader of the Conservative Group)

Councillor Lancaster, referring to the end of another year of Labour/Liberal Democrat administration, asked Councillor D Hopkins, how would he mark their scorecard?

Councillor D Hopkins indicated that he would give them 9/10 for intent and rhetoric and 3/10 for financial management.

- (d) Question from Councillor N Khan to Councillor Townsend (Cabinet member for Public Realm)

Councillor N Khan, referring to the upcoming launch of the Great British Spring Clean, asked Councillor Townsend, how could residents get involved?

Councillor Townsend advised that there was a webpage which indicated where people could find their nearest litter picking kit and that they could also arrange for their litter to be collected afterwards. Councillor Townsend reminded the Council that over £3.5 million pounds was spent each year on litter collection and it would be much better if people took their litter home themselves.

- (e) Question from Councillor Taylor to Councillor D Hopkins (Leader of the Conservative Group)

Councillor Taylor, referring to the dozen local authorities that have deferred the development of their new local plans due to national planning uncertainties, asked Councillor D Hopkins, does he consider that this Council should also consider doing the same?

Councillor D Hopkins indicated that he applauded Central Bedfordshire taking this decision as there was currently so much uncertainty with the planning provisions. There was uncertainty with East West Rail, East Milton Keynes and South East Milton Keynes. The Council had a robust five year land supply and he would therefore urge this Council to delay work on the new plan until there was certainty.

As a supplementary question, Councillor Taylor asked Councillor D Hopkins, as he had mentioned East West Rail in his response, was there a business case for this project?

Councillor D Hopkins indicated that a recent report in The Times had indicated that of 235 major government projects, 27 of them, including the Oxford to Cambridge rail link were marked as red and were considered undeliverable and should be abandoned now.

- (f) Question from Councillor Exon to Councillor R Bradburn (Cabinet member for Economy and Culture)

Councillor Exon, referring to the fact that it was recently International Women's Day, asked Councillor R Bradburn, what was the Council doing to support female entrepreneurs?

Councillor R Bradburn indicated that that the Council was supporting female entrepreneurs through the 'Incubation Nation' programme which aimed to help up to fifty female entrepreneurs with their start up businesses including seminars, business bootcamps, social media and other valuable business skills.

- (g) Question from Councillor Bowyer to Councillor D Hopkins (Leader of the Conservative Group)

Councillor Bowyer, referring to the impact on rural residents of decisions made by this administration such as the loss of bus routes and contending with housing development, asked Councillor D Hopkins, did he agree that the Council must do more to tackle the issues that affect our most rural residents.

Councillor D Hopkins indicated that he couldn't agree more whether that was the loss of bus routes or the impact on our residents of continual growth. It was time to allow Milton Keynes to consolidate and settle rather than the continual adding on of new developments.

- (h) Question from Councillor Mahendran to Councillor Marland (Leader of the Council)

Councillor Mahendran, referring to the bus service from Shenley Wood which did not seem to be running, asked Councillor Marland, could he look into this as a matter of urgency?

Councillor Marland indicated that the Council was looking into why this service was not operating as it was supposed to.

As a supplementary question Councillor Mahendran asked Councillor Marland, would he join with her in campaigning for bus routes to be brought back into the public domain?

Councillor Marland indicated that a Labour Government had promised to allow local authorities to franchise buses as the Council did not currently have the power to control private operators. The Leader was pleased to announce that officers had been working closely with the operator of the Number 41 bus and that it would shortly return on a six month 'use it or lose it' promotion.

- (i) Question from Councillor Verma to Councillor D Hopkins (Leader of the Conservative Group)

Councillor Verma, referring to the view that this year's budget was an executive summary with appalling mistakes, asked Councillor D Hopkins, whether the lack of transparency by the Labour and Liberal Democrat administration was acceptable?

Councillor D Hopkins indicated that previous budgets had at least twelve pages of details behind the headlines and this year there was just two pages. He noted that part of the role of the opposition was to scrutinise the administration which is what the Conservative Group was doing and would continue to do.

As a supplementary question Councillor Verma asked Councillor D Hopkins, this lack of transparency begs the question, what was the Progressive Alliance trying to hide.

Councillor D Hopkins indicated that the Council would be debating these issues later and he expected that it would expose the shortcomings of this administration.

- (j) Question from Councillor Clarke to Councillor J Carr (Cabinet member for Tackling Social Inequalities)

Councillor Clarke, referring to the £180,000 that had been set aside to support the most vulnerable, asked Councillor J Carr, would she provide an update on this work?

Councillor J Carr indicated that this funding had been provided to parish and town councils and over 1,200 'warm spaces' had been set up, some were also providing food, wi-fi and social events. Councillor J Carr hoped that many of these clubs would continue going forward.

- (k) Question from Councillor Rolfe to Councillor D Hopkins (Leader of the Conservative Group)

Councillor Rolfe, referring to the recent announcement of £1.1 million in funding from the government to the Council towards fixing potholes, asked Councillor D Hopkins, did he welcome this investment by the Conservative government?

Councillor D Hopkins indicated that he of course welcomed this funding although it was disappointing having spoken to the interim Head of Highways to understand that for example up to 99% of potholes in his ward did not meet the criteria to be fixed which was difficult to understand for example by residents who had damaged vehicles or had been in accidents. This additional funding from the government was welcome as parts of Milton Keynes were looking tired and needed to be improved.

As a supplementary question Councillor Rolfe asked Councillor D Hopkins, does this funding fade into insignificance due to the many millions of pounds lost due to the appalling handling of the situation at Calverton Lane?

Councillor D Hopkins indicated that he agreed with this and that the matter would be debated more fully later.

- (I) Question from Councillor Long to Councillor Darlington (Cabinet member for Adults, Housing and Healthy Communities)

Councillor Long, referring to the increasing numbers of those with dementia, asked Councillor Darlington, could she provide an update on progress in this area?

Councillor Darlington indicated that the number of people with dementia in Milton Keynes was going to double in the next five years so it was a significant concern. The Council was working in partnership with the Alzheimer's Society, had brought in Admiral nurses, was working with businesses, charities and other organisations to ensure they were dementia friendly, had developed the first dementia friendly city supplementary planning document and had extended the dementia information support service for another twelve months.

As a supplementary question Councillor Long asked Councillor Darlington, did she agree that independent living should be at the heart of supporting people with dementia rather than the use of care and nursing homes?

Councillor Darlington indicated that not just those with dementia but all residents should live as independently in their own homes for as long as possible.

ACTIONS:

Councillor Marland indicated that he would write to the Minister of State (Housing and Planning) requesting that deadlines for issuing decisions for various prior consent applications were aligned.

CL125 Extension of £2 Bus Fare Cap

Councillor Trendall moved the following motion which was seconded by Councillor R Bradburn:

- “1. That this Council notes that the Government have extended the £2 bus fare cap until June 2023.
2. That this Council believes that:
 - (a) the ongoing cost-of-living crisis will worsen over the next year as from April energy bills are due to rise by approximately £500, water bills are set to increase by 7.5%, and 1 in 4 households regularly run out of money for essentials;
 - (b) the £2 bus fare cap is a lifeline for many people in Milton Keynes who use the bus service to attend work, school, and vital medical appointments;

- (c) bus services help to reduce the city's carbon emissions, pollution, and congestion across the city; and
 - (d) the £2 bus fare cap should be extended to 31 December 2023.
3. That this Council resolves to ask the Chief Executive of the Council to write to the Secretary of State for Transport and the Chancellor to request the £2 bus fare cap be extended to 31 December 2023."

The motion was declared carried by acclamation.

RESOLVED:

1. That this Council notes that the Government have extended the £2 bus fare cap until June 2023.
2. That this Council believes that:
 - (a) the ongoing cost-of-living crisis will worsen over the next year as from April energy bills are due to rise by approximately £500, water bills are set to increase by 7.5%, and 1 in 4 households regularly run out of money for essentials;
 - (b) the £2 bus fare cap is a lifeline for many people in Milton Keynes who use the bus service to attend work, school, and vital medical appointments;
 - (c) bus services help to reduce the city's carbon emissions, pollution, and congestion across the city; and
 - (d) the £2 bus fare cap should be extended to 31 December 2023.
3. That this Council resolves to ask the Chief Executive of the Council to write to the Secretary of State for Transport and the Chancellor to request the £2 bus fare cap be extended to 31 December 2023.

(Chief Executive)

CL126 Public Transport

Councillor D Hopkins moved the following motion which was seconded by Councillor Hosking:

- "1. That this Council notes that:
- (a) the public subsidies for many bus services in Milton Keynes were removed by Milton Keynes Council in April 2021 and that this led to many bus services ceasing across the city;
 - (b) these services were replaced by a public transport system known as MK Connect a demand responsive transport system which is neither a bus service nor a taxi service; and
 - (c) MK Connect while being very helpful for some users in being able to transport them across the city at a very reasonable price has not been shown to be

reliable enough for people to consistently use it to get to work, school college, to get to the train station or to medical appointments leaving people with no choice but to use a car and has let others down in return journeys.

2. That this council requests that:

- (a) the Cabinet member in conjunction with officers investigate the feasibility of a hybrid system reimplementing some subsidised bus services to enable the public transport system to be reliable for those most in need in Milton Keynes as well as reducing reliance on the car; and
- (b) that the matter be referred to the Scrutiny Management Committee for inclusion on a scrutiny committee work programme by the end of 2023, at the latest."The Council heard from one member of the public during the debate on the item."

The Council heard from one member of the public during the debate on this item.

On being put to the vote the motion was lost.

RESOLVED:

On being put to the vote the Motion was lost.

CL127 Loss of Development Land at Calverton Lane

Councillor Geary moved the following motion which was seconded by Councillor Verma:

"1. That this Council notes:

- (a) with disappointment that MKCC, after taking legal action to remove an occupier from grazing horses on land adjoining the Calverton Lane travellers site, lost the case in a judgement delivered from the High Court on 23rd March 2022 by the Hon Mr Justice Eyre and hence lost the land through a counter claim for adverse possession;
- (b) the value of this loss will run to many millions of pounds as the land already has outline planning permission for development; and
- (c) The judgement from the case states that some of the evidence submitted by MKC was of poor quality and not convincing, stating:
 - i) "the claimant (Milton Keynes Council) did not put in evidence any statement from him" (a key witness).
 - ii) "The first difficulty with the evidence from the claimant is that no evidence from (the former the councils tenant) was adduced nor was there any explanation as to why there was no such evidence".

2. That this Council also notes that:

- (a) despite numerous behind closed doors briefings this issue has not been discussed publicly with the reasonings for this loss not being clearly understood by all members of the council despite the court judgement being in the public domain; and

- (b) while the Audit Committee of this Council have been aware of this issue for many months and certain members have had behind closed doors briefings that the committee has, as of the time of submission not found time to scrutinise the Audit report into this issue and understand the failings both historic and of the enforcement action and legal case.
- 3. That this Council acknowledges that getting the Council out of this mess is a decision for senior officers and / or the administration and not Full Council, Audit Committee or any Scrutiny or Committee.
- 4. That this Council reaffirms that the audit process and the Audit Committee should be beyond the influence either of officers outside of the audit team or the administration - executive of the council.
- 5. That this Council requests, as the Audit Committee have not yet had time to investigate this matter, that Scrutiny Management Committee be asked to examine the most appropriate way that this issue can best be scrutinised and any findings be referred to the Cabinet, as appropriate.”

Councillor Geary requested a recorded vote

FOR: Councillors Andrews, Bowyer, Geary, Hall, D Hopkins, Hosking, Imran, Lancaster, McLean, Muzammil, Nazir, Raja, Rolfe, Taylor and Verma (15)

AGAINST: Councillors M Bradburn, R Bradburn, Cannon, A Carr, J Carr, Clarke, Crooks, Darlington, Exon, Ferrans, Fuller, Hume, M Khan, N Khan, Long, Mahendran, Marland, McQuillan, Middleton, Montague, Z Nolan, Oguntola, Townsend, Trendall and Wallis (25)

ABSTENTIONS: (0)

The Motion was lost

RESOLVED:

On being put to the vote the Motion was lost.

CL128 Litter on the A5

Councillor Geary moved the following motion which was seconded by Councillor McLean:

“1. That this Council notes that:

- (a) MKC / MKCC took over responsibility for litter picking the A5 where it passes through Milton Keynes a number of years ago;
- (b) the interim Cabinet member for waste (on the 27 February) wrote on social media in relation to a post about the state of the litter on the road that “The A5 isn’t the Council’s responsibility, it belongs to national highways” despite it

having been part of his portfolio for over 5 months (this post has since been edited by the former interim Cabinet member).

2. That this Council agrees that the state of the litter on the A5 is unacceptable.
3. That this Council asks the Cabinet member responsible to work with officers to ensure that the litter on the A5 is collected as soon as possible and regularly cleansed after that.
4. That this Council urges the former interim Cabinet member who posted incorrect information on the "Next Door" social media platform on the 27 February to correct the post explaining that despite him being in charge of the portfolio for 5 months he was wrong and MKCC are responsible for collecting the litter."

On being put to the vote the Motion was Lost.

RESOLVED:

On being put to the vote the Motion was lost.

CL129 Vehicle Fuel Prices

Councillor A Carr moved the following motion which was seconded by Councillor Clarke:

- "1. That this Council notes that:
 - (a) cities often have lower vehicle fuel prices than rural areas, this is due to having higher populations and greater demand for fuel which drives competitive prices;
 - (b) despite being a city Milton Keynes has consistently had higher vehicle fuel prices than many other areas in the UK with little justification or rationalisation;
 - (c) fuel prices in the city are even higher than some rural areas such as Wisbech in Cambridgeshire, which offers prices of 10p per litre less than MK;
 - (d) residents and businesses across Milton Keynes have shared that they often travel further afield to Northamptonshire and Bedfordshire to fill up their vehicles to save money which is having a negative effect on the environment and our local economy;
 - (e) skill sets such as social care, are having difficulty recruiting employees;
 - (f) the Approved Mileage Allowance rate of 45p has not changed since 2011 and no longer covers the cost of using your own car for business; and
 - (g) given the rate of inflation The Association of Taxation Technicians have called on the Government to review Approved Mileage Allowance Payments to reduce the tax and National Insurance burden for employers and employees.
2. That this Council resolves to:
 - (a) Continue to provide funding to help ease costs for City Council colleagues completing high mileage car journeys.

- (b) Consult the local business community on the impact of vehicle fuel prices to gauge what other support might be offered to offset this unfair tax burden.
- (c) Consider what actions the City Council can take to extend the availability of affordable electric charging.
- (d) Lobby our local MPs to:
 - (i) consult with fuel providers to ascertain what can be addressed locally to lower the price of fuel in line with our neighbouring areas; and
 - (ii) support the Association of Tax Technicians to review the standard rate of reimbursement, to bring it in line with the Advisory Fuel Rate, which is calculated against fuel prices and avoids additional taxation and National Insurance liabilities.”

On being put to the vote the Motion was declared carried.

RESOLVED:

1. That this Council notes that:
 - (a) cities often have lower vehicle fuel prices than rural areas, this is due to having higher populations and greater demand for fuel which drives competitive prices;
 - (b) despite being a city Milton Keynes has consistently had higher vehicle fuel prices than many other areas in the UK with little justification or rationalisation;
 - (c) fuel prices in the city are even higher than some rural areas such as Wisbech in Cambridgeshire, which offers prices of 10p per litre less than MK;
 - (d) residents and businesses across Milton Keynes have shared that they often travel further afield to Northamptonshire and Bedfordshire to fill up their vehicles to save money which is having a negative effect on the environment and our local economy;
 - (e) skill sets such as social care, are having difficulty recruiting employees;
 - (f) the Approved Mileage Allowance rate of 45p has not changed since 2011 and no longer covers the cost of using your own car for business; and
 - (g) given the rate of inflation The Association of Taxation Technicians have called on the Government to review Approved Mileage Allowance Payments to reduce the tax and National Insurance burden for employers and employees.
2. That this Council resolves to:
 - (a) Continue to provide funding to help ease costs for City Council colleagues completing high mileage car journeys.
 - (b) Consult the local business community on the impact of vehicle fuel prices to gauge what other support might be offered to offset this unfair tax burden.

- (c) Consider what actions the City Council can take to extend the availability of affordable electric charging.
- (d) Lobby our local MPs to:
 - (i) consult with fuel providers to ascertain what can be addressed locally to lower the price of fuel in line with our neighbouring areas; and
 - (ii) support the Association of Tax Technicians to review the standard rate of reimbursement, to bring it in line with the Advisory Fuel Rate, which is calculated against fuel prices and avoids additional taxation and National Insurance liabilities.

(Chief Executive / Director of Resources / Cabinet Member for Resources)

CL130 Returning Officer's Fees and Disbursements

The Mayor moved the following recommendations which were seconded by the Deputy Mayor:

“That the schedule of fees and disbursements for Principal Area and Parish elections be adopted for 2023/24; and

That the Head of Democratic Services and the Electoral Services Manager be appointed as Deputy Electoral Registration Officers to provide certainty and resilience to support the timely issue of Temporary Voter Authority Certificates.”

The recommendations were declared carried by acclamation.

RESOLVED:

1. That the schedule of fees and disbursements for Principal Area and Parish elections be adopted for 2023/24; and
2. That the Head of Democratic Services and the Electoral Services Manager be appointed as Deputy Electoral Registration Officers to provide certainty and resilience to support the timely issue of Temporary Voter Authority Certificates.

CL131 Independent Remuneration Panel - Scheme of Councillors Allowances

The Mayor moved the following recommendations which were seconded by the Deputy Mayor:

“That the recommendation of the IRP that a Special Responsibility Allowance be paid to the Chair of the Corporate Parenting Panel - Cabinet Advisory Group at Tier 7 rate (£5,024 pro rata'd for the remainder of 2022/23 and £5,260 for 2023/24), be noted and agreed.

That the unchanged Scheme of Allowances for 2023/24, previously agreed by Council on 18 January 2023, be noted.”

The recommendations were declared carried by acclamation.

RESOLVED:

1. That the recommendation of the IRP that a Special Responsibility Allowance be paid to the Chair of the Corporate Parenting Panel - Cabinet Advisory Group at Tier 7 rate (£5,024 pro rata'd for the remainder of 2022/23 and £5,260 for 2023/24), be noted and agreed.
2. That the unchanged Scheme of Allowances for 2023/24, previously agreed by Council on 18 January 2023, be noted.

CL132 Quarterly Report on Special Urgency Decisions

The Council received a quarterly report on special urgency decisions.

RESOLVED:

That the report be noted.

CL133 Ward Based Budgets 2022/23

The Council received a report on the Ward Based Budgets for 2022/23.

RESOLVED:

That the report be noted.